



PROCEDURE FOR COMPLAINTS FOR SEXUAL HARASSMENT, INCLUDING SEXUAL ASSAULT, DATING VIOLENCE, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, STALKING, RETALIATION, TITLE IX, HARASSMENT, PREJUDICIAL TREATMENT, INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT AND INAPPROPRIATE RELATIONSHIPS.

1. Glossary

- *Advisor* means a person chosen by a party to accompany the party to meetings, interviews, or hearings related to the resolution process and to advise the party on that process.
- *Appeal Decision-maker* refers to those who have appellate decision-making authority within AIDB's Title IX Grievance process.
- *Complainant* means an individual who is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sexual harassment based on a protected class; or retaliation for engaging in a protected activity.
- *Complaint (formal)* means a document submitted by a Complainant or their parent/guardian or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment or retaliation under this Policy and requesting that AIDB investigate the allegation.
- *Confidential Resource* means a non-school/district employee who is not a Mandated Reporter of notice of harassment and/or retaliation.
- *Day* means a calendar day when AIDB is in normal operation.
- *Decision-maker* is the person who reviews the evidence, determines relevance, and makes the Final Determination of whether this Policy has been violated and/or assigns sanctions.
- *Directly-related Evidence* is evidence connected to the complaint, but which is neither inculpatory (tending to prove a violation) or exculpatory (tending to disprove a violation) and cannot be relied upon by the investigation report or Decision-maker. Compare to Relevant Evidence, below.
- *Education program or activity* means locations, events, or circumstances where AIDB exercises substantial control over both the Respondent and the context in which the sexual harassment, discrimination, and/or retaliation occurs.
- *Final Determination* is a conclusion by the preponderance of the evidence that the alleged conduct did or did not violate policy.

- *Finding*: A conclusion by the preponderance of the evidence that the conduct did or did not occur as alleged (as in a “finding of fact”).
- *Formal Grievance Process* means the method of formal resolution designated by AIDB to address conduct that falls within the policies included below, and which complies with the requirements of the Title IX regulations (34 CFR §106.45).
- *Grievance Process Pool* includes any investigators, Decision-makers, appeal Decision-makers, and/or Advisors who may perform any or all of these roles (though not at the same time or with respect to the same case).
- *Investigator* means the person or persons assigned by AIDB to gather facts about an alleged violation of this Policy, assess relevance and credibility, synthesize the evidence, and compile this information into an investigation report of relevant evidence and file of Directly-related Evidence.
- *Mandated Reporter* means an employee of AIDB who is obligated by policy to share knowledge, notice, and/or reports of harassment and/or retaliation with the Title IX Coordinator and/or their supervisor.¹ All AIDB employees are mandated reporters.
- *Notice* means that an employee, student, parent/guardian, or third-party informs the Title IX Coordinator or other Official with Authority of the alleged occurrence of harassing, discriminatory, and/or retaliatory conduct.
- *Official with Authority* (OWA) means any AIDB employee/contractor/volunteer.
- *Parties* include the Complainant(s) and Respondent(s), collectively.
- *AIDB* means a comprehensive education (including K-12 programs that receive federal funding), rehabilitation and service program serving individuals of all ages who are deaf, blind, deafblind and multi-disabled.
- *Relevant Evidence* is evidence that tends to prove (inculpatory) or disprove (exculpatory) an issue in the complaint. Compare to Directly-related Evidence, above.
- *Remedies* are actions taken following a Resolution directed to the Complainant and/or the community to address safety, prevent recurrence, and restore access to AIDB’s educational program.

¹ Not to be confused with those mandated by state law to report child abuse, elder abuse, and/or abuse of individuals with disabilities to appropriate officials, though these responsibilities may overlap with those who have mandated reporting responsibility in this Policy.

- *Respondent* means an individual who has been reported as having engaged in conduct that could constitute sexual harassment or retaliation under this Policy.
- *Resolution* means the result of an informal or Formal Grievance Process.
- *Sanction* means a consequence imposed by AIDB on a Respondent who is found to have violated this policy.
- *Sexual Harassment* is the umbrella category including the offenses of sexual harassment, sexual assault, stalking, dating violence, and domestic violence.
- *Title IX Coordinator* is the official(s) designated by AIDB to ensure compliance with Title IX and AIDB's Title IX program. References to the Title IX Coordinator throughout this policy may also include a designee of the Title IX Coordinator for specific tasks.
- *Title IX Team* refers to the Title IX Coordinator, any deputy coordinators, and any member of the Grievance Process Pool.

2. Rationale for Policy

AIDB is committed to providing a workplace and educational environment, as well as other benefits, programs, and activities that are free from discrimination and sexual harassment and retaliation. To ensure compliance with federal, state, and local civil rights laws and regulations, and to affirm its commitment to promoting the goals of fairness and equity in all aspects of the educational program or activity, AIDB has developed internal policies and procedures that provide a prompt, fair, and impartial process for those involved in an allegation sexual harassment or retaliation. AIDB values and upholds the equal dignity of all members of its community and strives to balance the rights of the parties in the grievance process during what is often a difficult time for all those involved.

3. Applicable Scope

The core purpose of this policy is to prohibit sexual harassment and retaliation. When an alleged violation of this policy is reported, the allegations are subject to resolution using the process as detailed below.

When the Respondent is a member of the AIDB community, a formal complaint may be filed and a grievance process may be available regardless of the status of the Complainant, who may or may not be a member of the AIDB community. This community includes, but is not limited to, students,²

² For the purpose of this policy, AIDB defines "student" as any individual who is enrolled in an AIDB school or unit, is participating in educational classes or camps associated with AIDB and who maintains an ongoing relationship with AIDB.

student organizations, teachers, administrators, staff, and third parties (such as parents/guardians, guests, visitors, volunteers, invitees).

The procedures below may be applied to incidents, to patterns, and/or to the school climate, all of which may be addressed and investigated in accordance with this policy.

4. Title IX Coordinator

The Title IX Coordinator oversees implementation of this policy. The Title IX Coordinator has the primary responsibility for coordinating AIDB's efforts related to the intake, investigation, resolution, and implementation of supportive measures to stop, prevent and remedy sexual harassment and retaliation prohibited under this policy.

5. Independence and Conflict-of-Interest

The Title IX Coordinator acts with independence and authority free from bias and conflicts of interest. The Title IX Coordinator oversees all resolutions under this policy and these procedures.

The members of the Title IX Team are vetted and trained to ensure they are not biased for or against any party in a specific case or biased for or against Complainants and/or Respondents, generally.

To raise any concern involving bias or conflict of interest by the Title IX Coordinator, contact the Office of the President at gilliam.dennis@aidb.org. Concerns of bias or a potential conflict of interest by any other Title IX Team member should be raised with the Title IX Coordinator, Shamera Miller Ed.D., @miller.shamera@aidb.org.

Reports of misconduct committed by the Title IX Coordinator should be reported to the President of AIDB. Reports of misconduct committed by any other Title IX Team member should be reported to the Title IX Coordinator.

6. Administrative Contact Information

Complaints or notice of alleged policy violations, or inquiries about or concerns regarding this policy and procedures, may be made internally to:

Shamera Miller, Ed.D.
Title IX Coordinator
Director of Employee Relations
Email: miller.shamera@aidb.org
Web: www.aidb.org

AIDB has also classified all employees, contractors and volunteers as Mandated Reporters of any knowledge they have that a member of the community is experiencing sexual harassment and/or retaliation.

The section below on Mandated Reporting details the responsibilities and duties that all AIDB employees have as Mandated Reporters under Title IX.

Inquiries may be made externally to:

Office for Civil Rights (OCR)
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20202-1100
Customer Service Hotline #: (800) 421-3481
Facsimile: (202) 453-6012
TDD#: (877) 521-2172
Email: OCR@ed.gov
Web: <http://www.ed.gov/ocr>

Local OCR Office: 61 Forsyth Street, SW #19t10, Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Telephone (404)974-9406

For complaints involving employees: [Equal Employment Opportunity Commission](#) (EEOC) ³

7. Notice/Complaints of Sexual Harassment and/or Retaliation

Notice or complaints of sexual harassment and/or retaliation may be made using any of the following options:

- 1) File a complaint with, or give verbal notice to, the Title IX Coordinator. A report may be made at any time (including during non-school hours) by using the telephone number 256.761.3351 or miller.shametra@aidb.org.
- 2) Report online, using the reporting form posted at <https://www.aidb.org/page/3789>. Reports may be made anonymously but may result in a need to investigate. AIDB tries to provide supportive measures to all Complainants, which is often not possible with an anonymous report that does not identify the Complainant. AIDB respects requests from

³ EEOC has jurisdiction over Title IX employment claims. We recommend providing local EEOC office contact information in this section. Please consult: <http://www.eeoc.gov/field/index.cfm> to locate your local office's contact info.

Complainants to dismiss complaints unless there is a compelling threat to health and/or safety, criminal activity, child abuse, and/or the Respondent is an employee.

A Formal Complaint means a document submitted or signed by the Complainant or their parent/guardian or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging a policy violation by a Respondent and requesting that AIDB investigate the allegation(s). AIDB's formal complaint form can be accessed at AIDB.org.

A complaint may be filed with the Title IX Coordinator in person, by mail, or by electronic mail, by using the contact information above, or as described in this section. As used in this paragraph, the phrase "document filed by a Complainant" means a document or electronic submission (such as by electronic mail or through an online portal provided for this purpose by AIDB) that contains the Complainant's, or their parent/guardian's, physical or digital signature, or otherwise indicates that the Complainant, or their parent/guardian, is the person filing the complaint, and requests that AIDB investigate the allegations.

If a complaint is submitted in a form that does not meet this standard, the Title IX Coordinator will contact the Complainant, and/or their parent/guardian, to ensure that it is filed correctly.

8. Supportive Measures

AIDB will offer and implement appropriate and reasonable supportive measures to the parties upon notice of alleged sexual harassment and/or retaliation.

Supportive measures are non-disciplinary, non-punitive individualized services offered as appropriate, as reasonably available, and without fee or charge to the parties. Supportive measures are designed to restore or preserve access to AIDB's education program or activity, including measures designed to protect the safety of all parties and/or AIDB's educational environment, and/or deter sexual harassment and/or retaliation.

The Title IX Coordinator promptly makes supportive measures available to the parties upon receiving notice or a complaint. At the time that supportive measures are offered, AIDB will inform the Complainant, in writing, that they may file a formal complaint with AIDB either at that time or in the future, if they have not done so already.

The Title IX Coordinator works with the Complainant, and/or their parent/guardian, to ensure that their wishes are considered with respect to the supportive measures that are planned and implemented.

AIDB will maintain the confidentiality of the supportive measures so long as the confidentiality does not impair AIDB's ability to provide those supportive measures. AIDB will reduce the academic/occupational impact on the parties as much as possible. AIDB will implement measures in a way that does not unreasonably burden the other party.

These actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Referral to counseling, medical, and/or other healthcare services
- Referral to the Employee Services Program for staff members
- Referral to community-based service providers
- Visa and immigration assistance
- Student counseling
- Education to the school community or community subgroup(s)
- Altering school housing assignment(s)
- Altering work arrangements for employees
- Safety planning
- Providing school safety escorts
- Providing transportation accommodations
- Implementing contact limitations (no contact orders) between the parties
- Academic support, extensions of deadlines, or other course/program-related adjustments
- Trespass orders
- Class schedule modifications, withdrawals, or leaves of absence
- Increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the school
- Any other actions deemed appropriate by the Title IX Coordinator

Violations of no contact orders or other restrictions will be referred to appropriate student or employee conduct processes for enforcement.

9. Emergency Removal

The AIDB can act to remove a student Respondent entirely or partially from its education program or activities on an emergency basis when an individualized safety and risk analysis has determined that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student or other individual justifies removal.

This risk analysis is performed by the Title IX Coordinator in conjunction with Health and Clinical Services using its standard objective violence risk assessment procedures. In cases when an emergency removal involves a student with a disability who is receiving services under an Individualized Education Program (IEP), this risk analysis will also be performed in conjunction with the student's IEP Team and may present the need for a manifestation determination.

In all cases in which an emergency removal is imposed, the student and parent/guardian will be given notice of the action and the option to request to meet with the Title IX Coordinator prior to such action/removal being imposed, or as soon thereafter as reasonably possible, to demonstrate why the action/removal should not be implemented or should be modified.

This meeting is not a hearing on the merits of the allegation(s), but rather is an administrative process intended to determine solely whether the emergency removal is appropriate. A student Respondent may be accompanied by an Advisor of their choice during the meeting. The student Respondent will be given access to a written summary of the basis for the emergency removal prior to the meeting to allow for adequate preparation. A Complainant and their Advisor may be permitted to participate in this meeting if the Title IX Coordinator determines it is equitable to do so.

When this meeting is not requested in a timely manner, objections to the emergency removal will be deemed waived, except as below.

In cases when an emergency removal involves a student with a disability who is receiving services under an IEP, this meeting can serve as the student's manifestation determination hearing and will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The student will not have to request such a meeting as it will be scheduled and held within ten (10) days of the decision to implement the emergency removal. If it is determined that an emergency removal is necessary for more than ten (10) school days, that would constitute a change in placement and would be addressed in accordance with the requirements of the IDEA.

This section also applies to any restrictions that a coach or athletic administrator may place on a student-athlete arising from allegations related to Title IX.

The Title IX Coordinator has sole discretion under this policy to implement or stay an emergency removal and to determine the conditions and duration. Violation of an emergency removal under this policy will be grounds for discipline within the student conduct process, which may include expulsion.

AIDB will implement the least restrictive emergency actions possible in light of the circumstances and safety concerns. As determined by the Title IX Coordinator, these actions could include, but are not limited to: removing a student from housing facilities, temporarily re-assigning an employee, restricting a student's or employee's access to or use of facilities or equipment, changing transportation arrangements, authorizing an administrative leave, and suspending a student's participation in extracurricular activities, student organizational leadership, or athletics.

At the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, alternative coursework options may be pursued to ensure as minimal an academic impact as possible on the parties.

Where the Respondent is an employee, existing provisions for interim action under AIDB's employee policies and procedures are applicable.

10. Promptness

All allegations, whether by notice or formal complaint, are acted upon promptly. Complaints can take 30-60 business days to resolve, typically. There are always exceptions and extenuating circumstances that can cause a resolution to take longer, but AIDB will avoid all undue delays within its control.

Any time the general timeframes for resolution outlined in AIDB procedures will be delayed, AIDB will provide written notice to the parties of the delay, the cause of the delay, and an estimate of the anticipated additional time that will be needed as a result of the delay.

11. Privacy

Every effort is made by AIDB to preserve the privacy of reports.⁴ AIDB will not share the identity of any individual who has made a report or complaint of harassment or retaliation, any Complainant, any individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of sexual harassment or retaliation, any Respondent, or any witness, except as permitted by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. 1232g; FERPA regulations, 34 CFR part 99; or as required by law; or to carry out the purposes of 34 CFR Part 106, including the conducting of any investigation, hearing, or grievance proceeding arising under these policies and procedures.

AIDB reserves the right to determine which AIDB officials have a legitimate educational interest in information about incidents that fall within this policy, pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).

12. Jurisdiction of AIDB

This Policy applies to the education program and activities of AIDB, to conduct that takes place within school or on property owned or controlled by AIDB, and at AIDB-sponsored events. The Respondent must be a member of AIDB's community in order for this Policy to apply.

This Policy can also be applicable to the effects of out-of-school misconduct that effectively deprive a person of access to AIDB's educational program. AIDB may also extend jurisdiction to out-of-school and/or to online conduct when the Title IX Coordinator determines that the conduct affects a substantial AIDB interest.

⁴ **Privacy** means that information related to a complaint will only be shared with the parties, their advisors, and a limited number of Recipient employees who "need to know" in order to assist in the assessment, investigation, and resolution of the report. All employees who are involved in the Recipient's response to notice under this policy receive specific training and guidance about sharing and safeguarding private information in accordance with state and federal law. The privacy of student education records will be protected in accordance with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), as outlined in the Recipient's Student Records Policy. The privacy of employee records will be protected in accordance with AIDB policies.

Regardless of where the conduct occurred, the AIDB will address notice/complaints to determine whether the conduct occurred in the context of its employment or educational program or activity and/or has continuing effects on school or in an out-of-school sponsored program or activity. A substantial AIDB interest may include:

- a. Any action that constitutes a criminal offense as defined by law. This includes, but is not limited to, single or repeat violations of any local, state, or federal law;
- b. Any situation in which it is determined that the Respondent poses an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student, employee, or other individual;
- c. Any situation that significantly impinges upon the rights, property, or achievements of oneself or others, or significantly breaches the peace, and/or causes social disorder; and/or
- d. Any situation that materially and substantially interferes with the requirements of appropriate discipline in the operation of the school or the educational interests/mission of AIDB;

If the Respondent is unknown or is not a member of the AIDB community, the Title IX Coordinator will assist the Complainant in identifying appropriate school or unit and local resources and support options. When criminal conduct is alleged, AIDB can assist in contacting local law enforcement or school resource officers if the individual would like to file a police report.

Further, even when the Respondent is not a member of AIDB's community, supportive measures, remedies, and resources may be available to the Complainant by contacting the Title IX Coordinator.

In addition, AIDB may take other actions as appropriate to protect the Complainant against third parties, such as barring individuals from AIDB property and/or events.

All vendors serving AIDB through third-party contracts are subject to the policies and procedures of their employers and/or to these policies and procedures to which their employer has agreed to be bound by their contracts.

13. Time Limits on Reporting

There is no time limit on providing notice/complaints to the Title IX Coordinator. However, if the Respondent is no longer subject to AIDB's jurisdiction and/or significant time has passed, the ability to investigate, respond, and/or provide remedies may be more limited or impossible.

Acting on notice/complaints significantly impacted by the passage of time (including, but not limited to, the rescission or revision of policy) is at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, who may document allegations for future reference, offer supportive measures and/or remedies, and/or engage in informal or formal action, as appropriate.

14. Online Sexual Harassment and/or Retaliation

The policies of AIDB are written and interpreted broadly to include online manifestations of any of the behaviors prohibited below, when those behaviors occur in or have an effect on the AIDB's education program and activities or when they involve the use of AIDB networks, technology, or equipment.

Although AIDB may not control websites, social media, and other venues through which harassing communications are made, when such communications are reported to AIDB, it will engage in a variety of means to address and mitigate the effects.

Members of the community are encouraged to be good digital citizens and to refrain from online misconduct, such as feeding anonymous gossip sites, sharing inappropriate content via social media, unwelcome sexual or sex-based messaging, distributing or threatening to distribute revenge pornography, breaches of privacy, or otherwise using the ease of transmission and/or anonymity of the Internet or other technology to harm another member of the AIDB community.

Any online posting or other electronic communication by students, including cyber-bullying, cyber-stalking, cyber-harassment, etc., occurring completely outside of AIDB's control (e.g., not on AIDB networks, websites, or between AIDB email accounts) will only be subject to this Policy when such online conduct can be shown to cause a substantial in-program disruption or infringement on the rights of others.

Otherwise, such communications are considered speech protected by the First Amendment. Supportive measures for Complainants will be provided, but protected speech cannot legally be subjected to discipline.

Out-of-school harassing speech by employees, whether online or in person, may be regulated by AIDB typically only when such speech is made in an employee's official or work-related capacity.

16. Definition of Sexual Harassment

The Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR), the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), and the State of Alabama regard Sexual Harassment as an unlawful discriminatory practice.

AIDB has adopted the following definition of sexual harassment in order to address the unique environment of an educational setting.

Acts of sexual harassment may be committed by any person upon any other person, regardless of the sex, sexual orientation, and/or gender identity of those involved.

Sexual Harassment, as an umbrella category, includes the actual or attempted offenses of sexual harassment, sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking, and is defined as:

Conduct on the basis of sex or that is sexual in nature that satisfies one or more of the following:

- 1) Quid Pro Quo:
 - a. an employee of a school or unit,
 - b. conditions⁵ the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the school or unit,
 - c. on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.
- 2) Sexual Harassment:
 - a. unwelcome conduct,
 - b. determined by a reasonable person,
 - c. to be so severe, and
 - d. pervasive, and,
 - e. objectively offensive,
 - f. that it effectively denies a person equal access to the school's or unit's education program or activity.⁶
- 3) Sexual assault, defined as:

⁵ Implicitly or explicitly.

⁶ Unwelcomeness is subjective and determined by the Complainant (except when the Complainant is younger than the age of consent). Severity, pervasiveness, and objective offensiveness are evaluated based on the totality of the circumstances from the perspective of a reasonable person in the same or similar circumstances ("in the shoes of the Complainant"), including the context in which the alleged incident occurred and any similar, previous patterns that may be evidenced.

- a. Any sexual act⁷ directed against another person⁸,
 - o without the consent of the Complainant,
 - o including instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent.⁹

Non-Forcible Sex Offenses

- o Incest:
 - 1) Non-forcible sexual intercourse,
 - 2) between persons who are related to each other,
 - 3) within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by Alabama law.
- o Statutory Rape:
 - 1) Non-forcible sexual intercourse,
 - 2) with a person who is under the statutory age of consent in Alabama.

4) Dating Violence, defined as:

- a. violence,
- b. on the basis of sex,
- c. committed by a person,
- d. who is in or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the Complainant.
 - i. The existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on the Complainant's statement and with consideration of the length of the

⁷ Sexual acts include:

Rape:

- o Penetration,
- o no matter how slight,
- o of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or
- o oral penetration by a sex organ of another person,
- o without the consent of the Complainant.

Fondling:

- o The touching of the private body parts of another person (buttocks, groin, breasts),
- o for the purpose of sexual gratification,
- o forcibly,
- o and/or against that person's will (non-consensually),
- o or not forcibly or against the person's will in instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent because of age or because of temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

⁸ This would include having another person touch you sexually, forcibly, or without their consent.

⁹ This definition set is not taken from SRS/NIBRS verbatim. ATIXA has substituted Complainant for "victim," has removed references to his/her throughout, has defined "private body parts," has removed the confusing and unnecessary term "unlawfully," and has inserted language clarifying that the Recipient interprets "against the person's will" to mean "non-consensually." These are liberties ATIXA thinks are important to take with respect to the federal definitions, but users should consult legal counsel before adopting them.

relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship. For the purposes of this definition—

- ii. Dating violence includes, but is not limited to, sexual or physical abuse or the threat of such abuse.
- iii. Dating violence does not include acts covered under the definition of domestic violence.

5) Domestic Violence, defined as:

- a. violence,
- b. on the basis of sex,
- c. committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the Complainant,
- d. by a person with whom the Complainant shares a child in common, or
- e. by a person who is cohabitating with, or has cohabitated with, the Complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, or
- f. by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the Complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Alabama, or
- g. by any other person against an adult or youth Complainant who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Alabama.

To categorize an incident as Domestic Violence under this Policy, the relationship between the Respondent and the Complainant must be more than just two people living together as roommates. The people cohabitating must be current or former spouses or have an intimate relationship.

6) Stalking, defined as:

- a. engaging in a course of conduct,
- b. on the basis of sex,
- c. directed at a specific person, that
 - i. would cause a reasonable person to fear for the person's safety, or
 - ii. the safety of others; or
 - iii. Suffer substantial emotional distress.

For the purposes of this definition—

- (i) Course of conduct means two or more acts, including, but not limited to, acts in which the Respondent directly, indirectly, or through third parties, by any action, method, device, or means, follows, monitors, observes, surveils, threatens, or communicates to or about a person, or interferes with a person's property.
- (ii) Reasonable person means a reasonable person under similar circumstances and with similar identities to the Complainant.
- (iii) Substantial emotional distress means significant mental suffering or

anguish that may but does not necessarily require medical or other professional treatment or counseling.

7) Prohibited Staff Behavior and Prohibited Staff-Student/Client Relationships.

- a. Prohibited behavior includes:
 - i. The cultivation or promotion of social relationships between staff and students/clients outside the boundaries of all legal requirements and professional employee conduct
 - ii. Engaging in or promoting romantic or sexual relationships of any kind, lawful or otherwise; between staff and students/clients and/or students/clients with other students/clients.
 - iii. Use of language or disparaging comments or actions that could be interpreted as derogatory, defamatory, threatening or insensitive to any student/client.
- b. Prohibited personal relationships:
 - i. No staff shall have relationships with students/clients currently being served by AIDB, beyond acceptable professional job duties or relationships included as exceptions noted in the Staff-Student/Client Visitation and Employment Procedures.
 - ii. No staff shall have social contact with current students/clients in a setting that is not AIDB sponsored, endorsed, and /or approved by supervision or administration.
 - iii. There shall be no visits or placement of students/clients in an AIDB staff member's home unless prior approved in writing by unit supervisor or designee, Vice President and President in accordance with Staff-Student/Client Visitation and Employment Procedures.
- c. No staff shall engage in sexual conduct of any type
 - i. There shall be no physical contact or conduct of a sexual nature between staff and students/clients
 - ii. There shall be no activity implied or otherwise by a staff member that may have the effect of intimidating, provoking or encouraging a student/client to engage in an act of sexual intimacy or sexual communication with a staff member or with another student/client.

AIDB reserves the right to impose any level of sanction, ranging from a reprimand up to and including suspension or expulsion/termination, for any offense under this Policy.

Force, Coercion, Consent, and Incapacitation

As used in the offenses above, the following definitions and understandings apply:

Force: Force is the use of physical violence and/or physical imposition to gain sexual access. Force also includes threats, intimidation (implied threats), and coercion that is intended to overcome resistance or produce consent (e.g., “Have sex with me or I’ll hit you,” which elicits the response, “Okay, don’t hit me, I’ll do what you want.”).

Sexual activity that is forced is, by definition, non-consensual, but non-consensual sexual activity is not necessarily forced. Silence or the absence of resistance alone is not consent. Consent is not demonstrated by the absence of resistance. While resistance is not required or necessary, it is a clear demonstration of non-consent.

Coercion: Coercion is unreasonable pressure for sexual activity. Coercive conduct differs from seductive conduct based on factors such as the type and/or extent of the pressure used to obtain consent. When someone makes clear that they do not want to engage in certain sexual activity, that they want to stop, or that they do not want to go past a certain point of sexual interaction, continued pressure beyond that point can be coercive.

Consent is:

- knowing, and
- voluntary, and
- clear permission
- by word or action
- to engage in sexual activity.

Individuals may perceive and experience the same interaction in different ways. Therefore, it is the responsibility of each party to determine that the other has consented before engaging in the activity.

If consent is not clearly provided prior to engaging in the activity, consent may be ratified by word or action at some point during the interaction or thereafter, but clear communication from the outset is strongly encouraged.

For consent to be valid, there must be a clear expression in words or actions that the other individual consented to that specific sexual conduct. Reasonable reciprocation can be implied. For example, if someone kisses you, you can kiss them back (if you want to) without the need to explicitly obtain *their* consent to being kissed back.

Consent can also be withdrawn once given, as long as the withdrawal is reasonably and clearly communicated. If consent is withdrawn, that sexual activity should stop within a reasonable time.

Consent to some sexual contact (such as kissing or fondling) does not imply there is consent for other sexual activity (such as intercourse). A current or previous intimate relationship is not sufficient to constitute consent.

Proof of consent or non-consent is not a burden placed on either party involved in an incident. Instead, the burden remains on AIDB to determine whether its policy has been violated. The existence of consent is based on the totality of the circumstances evaluated from the perspective of a reasonable person in the same or similar circumstances, including the context in which the alleged incident occurred and any similar, previous patterns that may be evidenced.

Incapacitation: A person cannot consent if they are unable to understand what is happening or is disoriented, helpless, asleep, or unconscious, for any reason, including by alcohol or other drugs. As stated above, a Respondent violates this policy if they engage in sexual activity with someone who is incapable of giving consent.

It is a defense to a sexual assault policy violation that the Respondent neither knew nor should have known the Complainant to be physically or mentally incapacitated. "Should have known" is an objective, reasonable person standard that assumes that a reasonable person is both sober and exercising sound judgment.

Incapacitation occurs when someone cannot make rational, reasonable decisions because they lack the capacity to give knowing/informed consent (e.g., to understand the "who, what, when, where, why, and how" of their sexual interaction).

Incapacitation is determined through consideration of all relevant indicators of an individual's state and is not synonymous with intoxication, impairment, blackout, and/or being drunk.

This policy also covers a person whose incapacity results from a temporary or permanent physical or mental health condition, involuntary physical restraint, and/or the consumption of incapacitating drugs.

17. Retaliation

Protected activity under this Policy includes reporting an incident that may implicate this policy, participating in the grievance process, supporting a Complainant or Respondent, assisting in providing information relevant to an investigation, and/or acting in good faith to oppose conduct that constitutes a violation of this Policy.

Acts of alleged retaliation should be reported immediately to the Title IX Coordinator and will be promptly investigated. AIDB will take all appropriate and available steps to protect individuals who fear that they may be subjected to retaliation.

AIDB and any member of AIDB's community are prohibited from taking materially adverse action by intimidating, threatening, coercing, harassing, or discriminating against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by law or policy, or because the individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this policy and procedure.

Filing a complaint under another school/district policy could be considered retaliatory if those charges could be applicable under this Policy, when the charges are made for the purpose of interfering with or circumventing any right or privilege provided under this Policy that is not provided under the other school/district policy that was used. Therefore, AIDB vets all complaints carefully to ensure this does not happen, and to ensure that the appropriate process is used to resolve complaints.

The exercise of rights protected under the First Amendment does not constitute retaliation.

Charging an individual with a code of conduct violation for making a materially false statement in bad faith in the course of a grievance proceeding under this policy and procedure does not constitute retaliation, provided that a Final Determination under this Policy, alone, is not sufficient to conclude that any party has made a materially false statement in bad faith.

18. Mandated Reporting

All AIDB employees (teachers, staff, and administrators) are expected to report actual or suspected sexual harassment or retaliation to appropriate officials immediately. This includes employees who might otherwise be considered confidential resources.

In order to make informed choices, it is important to be aware of confidentiality and mandatory reporting requirements when consulting school resources.

If a Complainant expects formal action in response to their allegations, reporting to any Mandated Reporter can connect them with resources to report crimes and/or policy violations, and these employees will immediately pass reports to the Title IX Coordinator (and/or police, if desired by the Complainant), who will take action when an incident is reported to them.

The following sections describe the reporting options at AIDB for a Complainant or third-party (including parents/guardians when appropriate):

a. Confidential Resources

Because all AIDB employees are required to report actual or suspected sexual harassment or retaliation, any such information a Complainant shares with any AIDB employee cannot remain confidential.

If a Complainant would like the details of an incident to be kept confidential, the Complainant may speak with a non-AIDB employee. Following are some confidential community-based resources:

- Employee Assistance Service for staff
- Licensed professional counselors and other medical providers
- Local rape crisis counselors

- Domestic violence resources
- Local or state assistance agencies
- Attorneys

All of the above-listed individuals are not AIDB employees and may maintain confidentiality when acting under the scope of their licensure, professional ethics, and/or professional credentials, except in extreme cases of immediacy of threat or danger or abuse of a minor/elder/individual with a disability, or when required to disclose by law or court order.

b. Mandated Reporters and Formal Notice/Complaints

All employees of AIDB are Mandated Reporters and must promptly share with the Title IX Coordinator all known details of a report made to them in the course of their employment.

Employees must also promptly share all details of behaviors under this Policy that they observe or have knowledge of, even if not reported to them by a Complainant or third-party.

Complainants may want to carefully consider whether they share personally identifiable details with a Mandated Reporter, as those details must be shared with the Title IX Coordinator.

Failure of a Mandated Reporter to report an incident of sexual harassment or retaliation of which they become aware is a violation of AIDB policy and can be subject to disciplinary action.

Though this may seem obvious, when a Mandated Reporter is engaged in harassment or other violations of this Policy, they still have a duty to report their own misconduct, though AIDB is technically not on notice simply because a harasser is also a Mandated Reporter unless the harasser does in fact report themselves.

Finally, it is important to clarify that a Mandated Reporter who is themselves a target of harassment or other misconduct under this Policy is not required to report their own experience, though they are, of course, encouraged to do so.

19. When a Complainant Does Not Wish to Proceed

If a Complainant, or their parent/guardian, does not wish for the Complainant's name to be shared, does not wish for an investigation to take place, or does not want a formal complaint to be pursued, they may make such a request to the Title IX Coordinator, who will evaluate that request in light of the duty to ensure the safety of the school and to comply with state or federal law.

The Title IX Coordinator has ultimate discretion over whether AIDB proceeds when the Complainant, or their parent/guardian, does not wish to do so, and the Title IX Coordinator may sign a formal complaint to initiate a grievance process. The Title IX Coordinator's decision may be

based on results of a violence risk assessment that show a compelling risk to health and/or safety that requires AIDB to pursue formal action to protect the community.

A compelling risk to health and/or safety may result from evidence of patterns of misconduct, predatory conduct, threats, abuse of minors, use of weapons, and/or violence. AIDB may be compelled to act on alleged employee misconduct irrespective of a Complainant's wishes.

The Title IX Coordinator must also consider the effect that non-participation by the Complainant may have on the availability of evidence and AIDB's ability to pursue a Formal Grievance Process fairly and effectively.

When the Title IX Coordinator executes the written complaint, they do not become the Complainant. The Complainant is the individual who is alleged to have experienced conduct that could constitute a violation of this Policy.

When AIDB proceeds, the Complainant (and their parent/guardian and/or their Advisor) may have as much or as little involvement in the process as they wish. The Complainant retains all rights of a Complainant under this Policy irrespective of their level of participation. Typically, when the Complainant chooses not to participate, the Advisor or the student's parent/guardian may be appointed as proxy for the Complainant throughout the process, acting to ensure and protect the rights of the Complainant, though this does not extend to the provision of evidence or testimony except in situations where a Complainant is unable to provide evidence or testimony without assistance (e.g. due to age, disability, etc.).

Note that AIDB's ability to remedy and respond to notice may be limited if the Complainant does not want AIDB to proceed with an investigation and/or grievance process. The goal is to provide the Complainant with as much control over the process as possible, while balancing AIDB's obligation to protect its community.

In cases in which the Complainant, or their parent/guardian, requests no formal action and the circumstances allow AIDB to honor that request, AIDB may offer informal resolution options¹⁰ (see below), supportive measures, and remedies to the Complainant and the community, but will not otherwise pursue formal action.

If the Complainant, or their parent/guardian, elects to take no action, they can change that decision if they decide to pursue a formal complaint at a later date. Upon making a formal complaint, a Complainant has the right, and can expect, to have allegations taken seriously by AIDB, and to have the incident investigated and properly resolved through these procedures. Please consider that delays may cause limitations on access to evidence, or present issues with respect to the status of the parties.

¹⁰ The 2020 Title IX Regulations prohibit informal resolution of a student complaint against an employee.

21. False Allegations and Evidence

Deliberately false and/or malicious accusations under this Policy are a serious offense and will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action. This does not include allegations that are made in good faith but are ultimately shown to be erroneous or do not result in a policy violation determination.

Additionally, witnesses and parties knowingly providing false evidence, tampering with or destroying evidence, or deliberately misleading an official conducting an investigation can be subject to discipline under the appropriate AIDB policies.

22. Amnesty for Complainants and Witnesses

The AIDB community encourages the reporting of misconduct and crimes by Complainants and witnesses. Sometimes, Complainants or witnesses are hesitant to report to AIDB officials or participate in grievance processes because they fear that they themselves may be in violation of certain policies, such as underage drinking or use of illicit drugs at the time of the incident. Respondents may hesitate to be forthcoming during the process for the same reasons.

It is in the best interests of the AIDB community that Complainants choose to report misconduct to AIDB officials, that witnesses come forward to share what they know, and that all parties be forthcoming during the process.

To encourage reporting and participation in the process, AIDB maintains a policy of offering parties and witnesses amnesty from minor policy violations related to the incident.

Amnesty does not apply to more serious allegations such as physical abuse of another or illicit drug distribution. The decision not to offer amnesty is based on neither sex nor gender, but on the fact that collateral misconduct is typically addressed for all students within a progressive discipline system, and the rationale for amnesty – the incentive to report serious misconduct – is rarely applicable to Respondent with respect to a Complainant.

In determining whether to offer amnesty, the Title IX Coordinator will consider factors such as: the nature and severity of the policy violation; the age of the individual; the impact on the health and safety of the individual and the school community; and the best interests of the school community.

Students: Sometimes, students are hesitant to assist others for fear that they may get in trouble themselves (for example, an underage student who has been drinking or using marijuana might hesitate to help take an individual who has experienced sexual assault to seek assistance).

The AIDB maintains a policy of amnesty for students who offer help to others in need. [Although policy violations cannot be overlooked, the AIDB may provide purely educational options with no official disciplinary finding, rather than punitive sanctions, to those who offer their assistance to others in need.]

Employees:¹¹ Sometimes, employees are hesitant to report sexual harassment or retaliation they have experienced for fear that they may get in trouble themselves. For example, an employee who has violated the consensual relationship policy and is then assaulted in the course of that relationship might hesitate to report the incident to AIDB officials.

The AIDB may, at its discretion, offer employee Complainants amnesty from such policy violations (typically more minor policy violations) related to the incident. Amnesty may also be granted to Respondents and witnesses on a case-by-case basis.

RESOLUTION PROCESS FOR ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE POLICY ON SEXUAL HARASSMENT

1. Overview

AIDB will act on any formal or informal notice/complaint of violation of the Policy that is received by the Title IX Coordinator¹² or any other employee by applying these procedures.

The procedures below apply **only** to qualifying allegations of sexual harassment (including sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking, as defined above) involving students, staff, administrator, or faculty members.

If a dismissal occurs under these procedures or the allegations fall outside of the jurisdiction of these procedures, as determined by the Title IX Coordinator, the applicable procedures under the Student Handbook or the applicable employee handbook will be used to resolve the complaint.

The procedures below may be used to address collateral misconduct arising from the investigation of or occurring in conjunction with reported misconduct (e.g., vandalism, physical abuse of another). All other allegations of misconduct unrelated to incidents covered by the Policy will be addressed through procedures described in the student and employee handbooks.

2. Notice/Complaint

Upon receiving a complaint or notice, the Title IX Coordinator¹³ initiates a prompt initial assessment. The Title IX Coordinator will initiate at least one of three responses:

- 1) Offering and/or implementing supportive measures only because the Complainant¹⁴ does not want to file a formal complaint;
- 2) An informal resolution (upon submission of a formal complaint); and/or
- 3) A Formal Grievance Process including an investigation and a determination of whether policy was violated.

The AIDB uses the Formal Grievance Process to determine whether or not the Policy has been violated. If it is ultimately determined that Policy has been violated, AIDB will promptly implement

¹³ If circumstances require, the President or Title IX Coordinator will designate another person to oversee the resolution process should an allegation be made about the Coordinator or the Coordinator be otherwise unavailable or unable to fulfill their duties.

¹⁴ References to the Complainant, Respondent, or to the parties collectively throughout these procedures may also include their parent(s)/guardian(s) when applicable or as mandated by Recipient policy, state, and/or federal law.

effective remedies designed to ensure that it is not deliberately indifferent to sexual harassment or retaliation, their potential recurrence, or their effects.

3. Initial Assessment

The Title IX Coordinator's initial assessment typically occurs within one to three business days. The steps in an initial assessment can include:

- The Title IX Coordinator seeks to determine if the person impacted wishes to make a formal complaint, and will assist them to do so, if desired.
 - If not, the Title IX Coordinator determines whether to initiate a complaint themselves because a violence risk assessment indicates a compelling threat to health and/or safety.
 - If a formal complaint is received, the Title IX Coordinator assesses its sufficiency and works with the Complainant to make sure it is correctly completed.
 - The Title IX Coordinator reaches out to the Complainant to offer supportive measures.
 - The Title IX Coordinator works with the Complainant to ensure they are aware of the right to have an Advisor.
 - The Title IX Coordinator works with the Complainant to determine whether the Complainant prefers a supportive and remedial response, an informal resolution option, or a formal investigation and grievance process.
 - If a supportive and remedial response is preferred, the Title IX Coordinator works with the Complainant to identify their wishes, assesses the request, and implements accordingly. No Formal Grievance Process is initiated, though the Complainant can elect to initiate one later, if desired.
 - If an informal resolution option is preferred, the Title IX Coordinator assesses whether the complaint is suitable for informal resolution and may seek to determine if the Respondent is also willing to engage in informal resolution.
 - If a Formal Grievance Process is preferred, the Title IX Coordinator determines if the behavior falls under this Policy:
 - If it does, the Title IX Coordinator will initiate the formal investigation and grievance process, directing the investigation to address:
 - an incident, and/or
 - a pattern of alleged misconduct, and/or
 - a culture/climate concern, based on the nature of the complaint.
- If it does not, the Title IX Coordinator determines that Title IX does not apply (and will “dismiss” that aspect of the complaint, if any), assesses which policies may apply, which resolution process is applicable, and will refer the matter accordingly]. Please note that dismissing a complaint in accordance with the 2020 Title IX regulations is solely a procedural requirement under Title IX that does not limit AIDB's authority to address a complaint with an appropriate process and remedies.

a. Dismissal (Mandatory and Discretionary)¹⁵

AIDB must dismiss a formal complaint or any allegations therein if, at any time during the investigation or meeting with the Decision-maker, it is determined that:

- 1) The conduct alleged in the formal complaint would not constitute sexual harassment as defined above, even if proved; and/or
- 2) The conduct did not occur in an educational program or activity controlled by AIDB and/or AIDB does not have control of the Respondent; and/or
- 3) The conduct did not occur against a person in the United States; and/or
- 4) At the time of filing a formal complaint, a Complainant is not participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of AIDB.¹⁶

AIDB may dismiss a formal complaint or any allegations therein if, at any time during the investigation or meeting with the Decision-maker:

- 1) A Complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that the Complainant would like to withdraw the formal complaint in whole or in part; or
- 2) The Respondent is no longer enrolled in or employed by AIDB; or
- 3) Specific circumstances prevent the AIDB from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the formal complaint or allegations therein.

Upon any dismissal, AIDB will promptly send written notice of the dismissal and the rationale for doing so simultaneously to the parties.

This dismissal decision is appealable by any party under the appeal procedures below. A Complainant who decides to withdraw a complaint may later request to reinstate it or refile it.

4. Counterclaims

AIDB is obligated to ensure that the grievance process is not abused for retaliatory purposes. AIDB permits the filing of counterclaims but uses an initial assessment, described above, to assess whether the allegations in the counterclaim are made in good faith. Counterclaims by a Respondent

¹⁵ These dismissal requirements are mandated by the 2020 Title IX Regulations, 34 CFR §106.45.

¹⁶ Such a Complainant is still entitled to supportive measures, but the formal grievance process is not applicable.

may be made in good faith, but are, on occasion, also made for purposes of retaliation. Counterclaims made with retaliatory intent will not be permitted.

Counterclaims determined to have been reported in good faith will be processed using the grievance procedures below. Investigation of such claims may take place after resolution of the underlying initial allegation, in which case a delay may occur.

Counterclaims may also be resolved through the same investigation as the underlying allegation, at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator. When counterclaims are not made in good faith, they will be considered retaliatory and may constitute a violation of this policy.

5. Right to an Advisor

The parties may each have an Advisor¹⁷ of their choice present with them for all meetings, interviews, and hearings within the resolution process, if they so choose. The parties may select whoever they wish to serve as their Advisor as long as the Advisor is eligible and available.¹⁸ For students, this Advisor can be someone in addition to their parent/guardian who may also be present with them for all meetings, interviews, and hearings within the resolution process.

Choosing an Advisor who is also a witness in the process creates potential for bias and conflict-of-interest. A party who chooses an Advisor who is also a witness can anticipate that issues of potential bias will be explored by the hearing Decision-maker(s).

AIDB may permit parties to have more than one Advisor upon special request to the Title IX Coordinator. The decision to grant this request is at the sole discretion of the Title IX Coordinator and will be granted equitably to all parties.

a. Who Can Serve as an Advisor

The Advisor may be a friend, mentor, family member, attorney, or any other individual a party chooses to advise, support, and/or consult with them throughout the resolution process. The parties may choose Advisors from inside or outside of the AIDB community.

Parties also have the right to choose not to have an Advisor during the resolution process.

¹⁷ This could include an attorney, advocate, or support person. The law permits one Advisor for each party (witnesses are not entitled to Advisors within the process, though they can be advised externally), but some Recipients do permit more than one. If the Recipient allows more than one Advisor for one party, they should do so for all parties.

¹⁸ "Available" means the party cannot insist on an Advisor who simply doesn't have inclination, time, or availability. Also, the Advisor cannot have institutionally conflicting roles, such as being a Title IX administrator who has an active role in the matter, or a supervisor who must monitor and implement sanctions.

b. Advisor's Role in Meetings and Interviews

The parties may be accompanied by their Advisor in all meetings, interviews, and hearings at which the party is entitled to be present, including intake and investigation interviews. Advisors should help the parties prepare for each meeting and are expected to advise ethically, with integrity, and in good faith.

AIDB cannot guarantee equal Advisory rights, meaning that if one party selects an Advisor who is an attorney, but the other party does not or cannot afford an attorney, AIDB is not obligated to provide an attorney.

c. Pre-Interview Meetings

The parties and their advisors may request to meet with AIDB officials conducting interviews/meetings/hearings in advance of these interviews or meetings. This pre-meeting allows Advisors to clarify and understand their role and AIDB's policies and procedures.

d. Advisor Violations of AIDB Policy

All Advisors are subject to the same AIDB policies and procedures, whether they are attorneys or not. Advisors are expected to advise their advisees without disrupting proceedings or meetings.

The parties are expected to ask and respond to questions on their own behalf throughout the resolution process. In cases where a party requires assistance in asking and/or responding to questions on their own behalf (e.g. due to age or disability), the Advisor will be allowed to ask and/or respond to questions on behalf of their advisee, at the discretion of the Investigator(s) or Decision-maker(s). Although the Advisor generally may not speak on behalf of their advisee, the Advisor may consult with their advisee, either privately as needed, or by conferring or passing notes during any resolution process meeting or interview. For longer or more involved discussions, the parties and their Advisors should ask for breaks to allow for private consultation.

Any Advisor who oversteps their role as defined by this policy will be warned only once. If the Advisor continues to disrupt or otherwise fails to respect the limits of the Advisor role, the meeting/interview/hearing will be ended, or other appropriate measures implemented. Subsequently, the Title IX Coordinator will determine how to address the Advisor's non-compliance and future role.

e. Sharing Information with the Advisor

AIDB expects that the parties may wish to have AIDB share documentation and evidence related to the allegations with their Advisors. AIDB provides a consent form that authorizes AIDB to share such information directly with a party's Advisor. The parties must submit this completed form to the Title

IX Coordinator or provide similar documentation demonstrating consent to a release of information to the Advisor before AIDB is able to share records with an Advisor.

f. Privacy of Records Shared with Advisor

Advisors are expected to maintain the privacy of the records shared with them. These records may not be shared with third parties, disclosed publicly, or used for purposes not explicitly authorized by AIDB. Advisors may be asked to sign a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA).

g. Expectations of an Advisor

AIDB generally expects an Advisor to adjust their schedule to ensure attendance at AIDB meetings when planned, but AIDB may change scheduled meetings to accommodate an Advisor's inability to attend, if doing so does not cause an unreasonable delay.

AIDB may also make reasonable provisions to allow an Advisor who cannot be present in person to attend a meeting by telephone, video conferencing, or other similar technologies as may be convenient and available.

h. Expectations of the Parties with Respect to Advisors

A party may elect to change Advisors during the process and is not obligated to use the same Advisor throughout. The parties are expected to inform the Investigator(s) and Decision-maker(s) of the identity of their Advisor at least two (2) days before the date of their first meeting with Investigators and Decision-makers (or as soon as possible if a more expeditious meeting is necessary or desired).

The parties are expected to provide timely notice to the Title IX Coordinator if they change Advisors. If a party changes Advisors, consent to share information with the previous Advisor is terminated, and a release for the new Advisor must be secured.

i. Assistance in Securing an Advisor¹⁹

For representation, Respondents may wish to contact organizations such as:

- FACE (<http://www.facecampusequality.org>)
- SAVE (<http://www.saveservices.org>).

Complainants may wish to contact organizations such as:

- The Victim Rights Law Center (<http://www.victimrights.org>),
- The National Center for Victims of Crime (<http://www.victimsofcrime.org>), which maintains the Crime Victim's Bar Association.]

¹⁹ AIDB is providing this for informational purposes only and does not constitute an endorsement of any of the external organizations or individuals listed.

- The Time's Up Legal Defense Fund: <https://nwlc.org/times-up-legal-defense-fund/>]

6. Resolution Processes

Resolution proceedings are private. All persons present at any time during the resolution process are expected to maintain the privacy of the proceedings in accordance with AIDB policy. Although there is an expectation of privacy around what Investigators share with parties during interviews, the parties have discretion to share their own knowledge and evidence with others if they so choose, with the exception of information the parties agree not to disclose as part of an Informal Resolution, discussed below. AIDB encourages parties to discuss with their Advisors any sharing of information before doing so.

The Formal Grievance Process is AIDB's primary resolution process unless use of the Informal Resolution Process is agreed upon by all parties and AIDB.

7. Grievance Process Pool

The Formal Grievance Process relies on a pool of administrators ("the Pool") to carry out the process. The pool may also rely on external consultants or administrators to serve as members of the Pool.

a. Pool Member Roles

Members of the Pool are trained annually, and can serve in [any of] the following roles, at the direction of the Title IX Coordinator:

- To provide appropriate intake of and initial guidance pertaining to complaints
- To act as an Advisor to the parties
- To serve in a facilitation role in Informal Resolution or Alternative Resolution if appropriately trained in appropriate resolution modalities (e.g., mediation, restorative practices, facilitated dialogue, etc.)
- To perform or assist with initial assessment]
- To investigate complaints
- To serve as a Decision-maker regarding the complaint
- To serve as an Appeal Decision-maker

b. Pool Member Appointment

The Title IX Coordinator, in consultation with the President, identifies individuals who will serve in

the Pool,²⁰ which acts with independence and impartiality. Although members of the Pool are typically trained in a variety of skill sets and can rotate amongst the different roles listed above in different cases, AIDB can also designate permanent roles for individuals in the Pool, using others as substitutes or to provide greater depth of experience when necessary. This process of role assignment may be the result of particular skills, aptitudes, or talents identified in members of the

c. Pool Member Training

The Pool members receive annual training. This training includes, but is not limited to:

- The scope of AIDB's Sexual Harassment Policy and Procedures
 - How to conduct investigations, meetings, and hearings in a manner that protects the safety of Complainants and Respondents, and promotes accountability
 - Implicit bias
 - Disparate treatment
 - Reporting, confidentiality, and privacy requirements
 - Applicable laws, regulations, and federal regulatory guidance
 - How to implement appropriate and situation-specific remedies
 - How to investigate in a thorough, reliable, timely, and impartial manner by individuals who receive annual training in conducting investigations of sexual harassment, trauma-informed practices, and impartiality
 - How to uphold fairness, equity, and due process
 - How to weigh evidence
 - How to conduct questioning
 - How to assess credibility
 - Impartiality and objectivity
 - How to render findings and generate clear, concise, evidence-based rationales
 - The definitions of all offenses
 - How to apply definitions used by AIDB with respect to consent (or the absence or negation of consent) consistently, impartially, and in accordance with policy
 - How to conduct an investigation and grievance process including meetings, hearings, appeals, and informal resolution processes
 - How to serve impartially by avoiding prejudgment of the facts at issue, conflicts of interest, and bias against Respondents and/or Complainants, and on the basis of sex, race, religion, and other protected characteristics
 - Any technology to be used during an interview, meeting, or hearing
 - Issues of relevance of questions and evidence
 - Issues of relevance to create an investigation report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence
-

- How to determine appropriate sanctions in reference to all forms of harassment and/or retaliation allegations
- Recordkeeping

All Pool members are required to attend these trainings annually. The materials used to train all members of the Pool are publicly posted on the AIDB's website.

8. Informal Resolution

Informal Resolution can include the following approaches:

- Supportive Resolution: When the Title IX Coordinator can resolve the matter informally by providing supportive measures (only) to remedy the situation.
- Alternative Resolution: When the parties agree to resolve the matter through an alternative resolution mechanism as described below, [including mediation, restorative practices, facilitated dialogue, etc.], usually before a formal investigation takes place; see discussion in b., below.
- Accepted Responsibility: When the Respondent accepts responsibility for violating policy, and desires to accept a sanction(s) and end the resolution process (similar to above, but usually occurs post-investigation); see discussion in c., below.

To initiate Informal Resolution, a Complainant must submit a formal complaint, as described above. A Respondent who wishes to initiate Informal Resolution should contact the Title IX Coordinator.

It is not necessary to pursue Informal Resolution first in order to pursue a Formal Grievance Process, and any party participating in Informal Resolution can stop the process at any time and begin or resume the Formal Grievance Process.

Prior to implementing Informal Resolution, the AIDB will provide the parties with written notice of the reported misconduct and any sanctions or measures that may result from participating in such a process, including information regarding any records that will be maintained or shared by AIDB.

AIDB will obtain voluntary, written confirmation that all parties wish to resolve the matter through Informal Resolution before proceeding and will not pressure the parties to participate in Informal Resolution.

The parties may agree as a condition of engaging in Informal Resolution that statements made or evidence shared during the Informal Resolution process will not be considered in any subsequent Formal Grievance Process unless all parties consent.

Informal resolution will not be used to resolve allegations of complaints where the Complainant is a student and the Respondent is an employee.

a. Alternative Resolution Approaches

Alternative Resolution is an informal approach, including mediation or restorative practices, etc., by which the parties mutually agree to resolve an allegation. All parties must consent to the use of an Alternative Resolution approach.

The Title IX Coordinator may look to the following factors to assess whether Alternative Resolution is appropriate, or which form of Alternative Resolution may be most successful for the parties:

- The parties' preference for Alternative Resolution;
- Likelihood of potential resolution, considering any power dynamics between the parties;
- The parties' motivation to participate;
- Civility of the parties;
- Results of a violence risk assessment/ongoing risk analysis;
- Disciplinary history;
- Whether an emergency removal is needed;
- Skill of the Alternative Resolution facilitator with this type of allegation;
- Complaint complexity;
- Capacity of parties to understand the process and fully participate in the process;
- Goals of the parties;
- Adequate resources to invest in Alternative Resolution (time, staff, etc.)

The ultimate determination of whether Alternative Resolution is available or successful is to be made by the Title IX Coordinator. The Title IX Coordinator is authorized to negotiate a resolution that is acceptable to all the parties and/or to accept a resolution that is proposed by the parties. The Title IX Coordinator maintains records of any resolution that is reached, and failure to abide by the resolution agreement may result in appropriate responsive/disciplinary actions. Results of complaints resolved by Informal Resolution or Alternative Resolution are not appealable.

b. Respondent Accepts Responsibility for Alleged Violations

The Respondent may accept responsibility for all or part of the alleged policy violations at any point during the resolution process. If the Respondent indicates an intent to accept responsibility for all of the alleged misconduct, the formal process will be paused, and the Title IX Coordinator will determine whether Informal Resolution can be used according to the criteria above. If so, the Title IX Coordinator will determine whether all parties and AIDB are able to agree on responsibility, sanctions, and/or remedies. If so, the Title IX Coordinator implements the accepted finding that the Respondent is in violation of AIDB policy and implements agreed-upon sanctions and/or remedies, in coordination with other appropriate administrator(s), as necessary.

This result is not subject to appeal once all parties indicate their written assent to all agreed upon terms of resolution. When the parties cannot agree on all terms of resolution, the Formal

Grievance Process will resume at the same point where it was paused.

When a resolution is accomplished, the appropriate sanction or responsive actions are promptly implemented in order to effectively stop the sexual harassment or retaliation, prevent its recurrence, and remedy the effects of the discriminatory conduct, both on the Complainant and the community.

9. Formal Grievance Process: Notice of Investigation and Allegations

The Title IX Coordinator will provide written notice of the investigation and allegations (the “NOIA”) to the Respondent upon commencement of the Formal Grievance Process. This facilitates the Respondent’s ability to prepare for the interview and to identify and choose an Advisor to accompany them. The NOIA is also copied to the Complainant, who will be given advance notice of when the NOIA will be delivered to the Respondent.

The NOIA will include:

- A meaningful summary of all allegations,
- The identity of the involved parties (if known),
- The precise misconduct being alleged,
- The date and location of the alleged incident(s) (if known),
- The specific policies implicated,
- A description of the applicable procedures,
- A statement that AIDB presumes the Respondent is not responsible for the reported misconduct unless and until the evidence supports a different determination,
- A statement that determinations of responsibility are made at the conclusion of the process and that the parties will be given an opportunity to inspect and review all directly related and/or relevant evidence obtained during the review and comment period,
- Information about the privacy of the process,
- Information on the need for each party to have an Advisor of their choosing and suggestions for ways to identify an Advisor,
- A statement informing the parties that AIDB’s Policy prohibits knowingly making false statements, including knowingly submitting false information during the resolution process,
- Detail on how the party may request disability accommodations during the resolution process,

Amendments and updates to the NOIA may be made as the investigation progresses and more information becomes available regarding the addition or dismissal of any allegations.

Notice will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person, mailed to the local or permanent address(es) of the parties as indicated in official AIDB records, or emailed to the parties’ AIDB-issued email or designated accounts. Once mailed,

emailed, and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered.

10. Resolution Timeline

AIDB will make a good faith effort to complete the resolution process within thirty to sixty (30-60) business days, including any appeal. This time frame can be extended as necessary by the Title IX Coordinator, who will provide notice and rationale for any extensions or delays to the parties as appropriate, as well as an estimate of how much additional time will be needed to complete the process.

11. Appointment of Investigators

Once the Title IX Coordinator decides to begin a formal investigation, the Title IX Coordinator will appoint one or more internal or external investigators.

12. Ensuring Impartiality

Any individual materially involved in the administration of the resolution process including the Title IX Coordinator, Investigator(s), and Decision-maker(s), may neither have nor demonstrate a conflict of interest or bias for a party generally, or for a specific Complainant or Respondent.

The Title IX Coordinator will vet the assigned Investigator(s) for impartiality by ensuring there are no actual or apparent conflicts of interest or disqualifying biases. At any time during the resolution process, the parties may raise a concern regarding bias or conflict of interest, and the Title IX Coordinator will determine whether the concern is reasonable and supportable. If so, another Pool member will be assigned and the impact of the bias or conflict, if any, will be remedied. If the source of the conflict of interest or bias is the Title IX Coordinator, concerns should be raised with the President.

The Formal Grievance Process involves an objective evaluation of all relevant evidence obtained, including evidence that supports that the Respondent engaged in a policy violation and evidence that supports that the Respondent did not engage in a policy violation. Credibility determinations may not be based solely on an individual's status or participation as a Complainant, Respondent, or witness.

AIDB presumes that the Respondent is not responsible for the reported misconduct unless and until a final determination is made that this Policy has been violated.

13. Investigation Timeline

Investigations are completed promptly, normally within thirty 30 business days, though some investigations may take longer, depending on the nature, extent, and complexity of the allegations, availability of witnesses, police involvement, etc.

AIDB will make a good faith effort to complete investigations as promptly as possible and will communicate regularly with the parties to update them on the progress and timing of the investigation.

14. Delays in the Investigation Process and Interactions with Law Enforcement

AIDB may undertake a short delay in its investigation (several days to a few weeks) if circumstances require. Such circumstances include but are not limited to a request from law enforcement to temporarily delay the investigation, the need for language assistance, the absence of parties and/or witnesses, and/or accommodations for disabilities or health conditions.

AIDB will communicate in writing the anticipated duration of the delay and reason to the parties and provide the parties with status updates if necessary. AIDB will promptly resume its investigation and resolution process as soon as feasible. During such a delay, AIDB will implement supportive measures as deemed appropriate.

AIDB action(s) or processes may be delayed, but are not stopped by, civil or criminal charges involving the underlying incident(s). Dismissal or reduction of those criminal charges may or may not impact on AIDB's action(s) or processes.

15. Steps in the Investigation Process

All investigations are thorough, reliable, impartial, prompt, and fair. Investigations involve interviews with all relevant parties and witnesses; obtaining available, relevant evidence; and identifying sources of expert information, as necessary.

All parties have a full and fair opportunity, through the investigation process, to suggest witnesses and questions, to provide evidence and expert witnesses, and to fully review and respond to all evidence on the record.

The Investigator(s) typically take(s) the following steps, if not already completed (not necessarily in this order):

- Determine the identity and contact information of the Complainant
- In coordination with school partners (e.g., the Title IX Coordinator), initiate or assist with any necessary supportive measures
- Identify all policies implicated by the alleged misconduct and notify the Complainant and Respondent of all of the specific policies implicated
- Assist the Title IX Coordinator, if needed, with conducting a prompt initial assessment to determine if the allegations indicate a potential policy violation

- Commence a thorough, reliable, and impartial investigation by identifying issues and developing a strategic investigation plan, including a witness list, evidence list, intended investigation timeframe, and order of interviews for all witnesses and the parties
- Meet with the Complainant to finalize their interview/statement, if necessary
- Work with the Title IX Coordinator, as necessary, to prepare the initial Notice of Investigation and Allegation (NOIA). The NOIA may be amended with any additional or dismissed allegations
 - Notice should inform the parties of their right to have the assistance of an Advisor of their choosing present for all meetings attended by the party
- Provide each interviewed party and witness an opportunity to review and verify the Investigator's summary notes (or transcript) of the relevant evidence/testimony from their respective interviews and meetings
- Make good faith efforts to notify the parties of any meeting or interview involving the other party, in advance when possible
- When participation of a party is expected, provide that party with written notice of the date, time, and location of the meeting, as well as the expected participants and purpose
- Interview all available, relevant witnesses and conduct follow-up interviews as necessary
- Allow each party the opportunity to suggest witnesses and questions they wish the Investigator(s) to ask of the other party and witnesses, and document in the investigation report which questions were asked, with a rationale for any changes or omissions
- Complete the investigation promptly and without unreasonable deviation from the intended timeline
- Provide regular status updates to the parties throughout the investigation
- Prior to the conclusion of the investigation, provide the parties and their respective Advisors (if so desired by the parties) with a list of witnesses whose information will be used to render a finding
- Write a comprehensive investigation report fully summarizing the investigation, all witness interviews, and addressing all relevant evidence. Appendices including relevant physical or documentary evidence will be included
- The Investigator(s) gather, assess, and synthesize evidence, but make no conclusions, engage in no policy analysis, and render no recommendations as part of their report
- Prior to the conclusion of the investigation, provide the parties and their respective Advisors (when Advisors are identified) a secured electronic or hard copy of the draft investigation report as well as an opportunity to inspect and review all of the evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the reported misconduct, including evidence upon which AIDB does not intend to rely in reaching a determination, for a ten (10) day review and comment period so that each party may meaningfully respond to the evidence. The parties may elect to waive the full ten days.

- The Investigator(s) may elect to respond in writing in the investigation report to the parties' submitted responses and/or to share the responses between the parties for additional responses
- The Investigator(s) will incorporate relevant elements of the parties' written responses into the final investigation report, include any additional relevant evidence, make any necessary revisions, and finalize the report. The Investigator(s) should document all rationales for any changes made following the review and comment period
- The Investigator(s) shares the report with the Title IX Coordinator and/or legal counsel for their review and feedback
- The Investigator will incorporate any relevant feedback, and the final investigation report is then shared with all parties and their Advisors through secure electronic transmission or hard copy at least ten (10) days prior to a meeting with the Decision-maker. The parties and their advisors are also provided with a file of any directly related evidence that was not included in the report

16. Role and Participation of Witnesses in the Investigation

Witnesses (as distinguished from the parties) are encouraged to cooperate with and participate in AIDB's investigation and resolution process. Witnesses from outside the school community are also encouraged to cooperate with AIDB's investigation and share what they know about the complaint.

Witnesses may also provide written statements in lieu of interviews or choose to respond to written questions, if deemed appropriate by the Investigator(s), though not preferred.

17. Recording of Interviews

No unauthorized audio or video recording of any kind is permitted during investigation meetings. If Investigator(s) elect to audio and/or video record interviews, all involved parties should be made aware of audio and/or video recording. Recordings of interviews are not provided to the parties, but the parties will have the ability to review the transcript/summary of the interview once the investigation report is compiled.

18. Evidentiary Considerations in the Investigation

The investigation does not consider: 1) incidents not directly related to the possible violation, unless they evidence a pattern; 2) questions and evidence about the Complainant's sexual predisposition; or 3) questions and evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior, unless such questions and evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the Respondent committed the conduct alleged, or if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the Complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the Respondent and are offered to prove consent.

Within the boundaries stated above, the investigation can consider character evidence generally, if offered, but that evidence is unlikely to be relevant unless it is fact evidence or relates to a pattern of conduct.

19. Referral to a Decision-maker

If the complaint is not resolved through Informal Resolution, and after the final investigation report is shared with the parties, the Title IX Coordinator will refer the matter to a Decision-maker to make a determination regarding responsibility.

The Decision-maker cannot make a determination regarding responsibility prior to ten (10) days from the conclusion of the investigation – when the final investigation report is transmitted to the parties and the Decision-maker—unless all parties and the Decision-maker agree to an expedited timeline.

The Title IX Coordinator will select an appropriate internal or external individual to serve as Decision-maker and provide the Decision-maker with a copy of the investigation report.

20. Decision-maker Designation

The Decision-maker(s) will not have had any previous involvement with the investigation. Those who have served as Investigators in this investigation may not serve as Decision-makers. Those who are serving as Advisors for any party may not serve as Decision-makers in that matter. The Title IX Coordinator may not serve as a Decision-maker in the matter.

All objections to any Decision-maker must be raised in writing, detailing the rationale for the objection, and must be submitted to the Title IX Coordinator no later than two (2) days after being notified of the identity of the Decision-maker. Decision-makers will only be removed if the Title IX Coordinator concludes that their bias or conflict of interest precludes an impartial consideration of the evidence.

The Title IX Coordinator will give the Decision-maker(s) a list of the names of all parties, witnesses, and Advisors. Any Decision-maker who cannot make an objective determination must recuse themselves from the proceedings when notified of the identity of the parties, witnesses, and Advisors. If a Decision-maker is unsure of whether a bias or conflict of interest exists, they must raise the concern to the Title IX Coordinator as soon as possible.

22. Evidentiary Consideration by the Decision-Maker

Any evidence that the Decision-maker(s) determine(s) is relevant and credible may be considered. The Decision-maker will not consider: 1) incidents not directly related to the possible violation, unless they evidence a pattern; 2) questions and evidence about the Complainant's sexual predisposition; or 3) questions and evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior, unless

such questions and evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the Respondent committed the conduct alleged, or if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the Complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the Respondent and are offered to prove consent.

Previous disciplinary action of any kind involving the Respondent may be considered in determining an appropriate sanction upon a determination of responsibility. This information is only considered at the sanction stage of the process and is not shared with the Decision-maker until then.

The parties may each submit a written impact statement for the consideration of the Decision-maker(s) at the sanction stage of the process when a determination of responsibility is reached.

The Decision-maker determines based on the preponderance of the evidence; whether it is more likely than not that the Respondent violated the Policy as alleged.

23. Exchange of Questions

The Decision-maker will facilitate the exchange of written questions between the parties and direct any written questions to any witnesses before a final determination is made.

The Decision-maker will invite each party to submit proposed written questions for other parties/witnesses. Upon receipt of the proposed questions, the Decision-maker will review the proposed questions and determine which questions will be permitted, disallowed, or rephrased. The Decision-maker will limit or disallow questions on the basis that they are irrelevant, repetitive (and thus irrelevant), or abusive. The Decision-maker has full authority to decide all issues related to questioning and determinations of relevance. The Decision-maker may ask a party to explain why a question is or is not relevant from their perspective. The Decision-maker will explain any decision to exclude a question as not relevant, or to reframe it for relevance.

The Decision-maker, after any necessary consultation with the parties, Investigator(s) and/or Title IX Coordinator, will provide the parties and witnesses with the relevant written questions to be answered and allow for a period of time whereby the parties and witnesses are to submit written responses to the questions and any appropriate follow-up questions or comments by the parties. The exchange of questions and responses by the parties and witnesses will be concluded within a 3-day period. The Decision-maker may extend this time frame at their discretion.

24. Decision-Maker Meeting Procedures

The Decision-maker may choose to meet with each party individually and any witnesses, as needed,

prior to making a determination of responsibility, [or to meet with the parties jointly].²¹ Participants at a meeting may include the Decision-maker, [the Investigator(s) who conducted the investigation,] the party/witness, the party's advisor, [the Title IX Coordinator] the parent/guardian, and anyone providing authorized accommodations or assistive services.

At a meeting, the Decision-maker has the authority to hear and make determinations on all allegations of sexual harassment and/or retaliation and may also hear and make determinations on any additional alleged policy violations that have occurred in concert with the sexual harassment and/or retaliation, even though those collateral allegations may not specifically fall within the Policy.

Any witness scheduled to meet with the Decision-maker must have been first interviewed by the Investigator(s) [or have proffered a written statement or answered written questions], unless all parties and the Decision-maker assent to the witness's participation.

If the parties and Decision-maker do not assent to the admission of evidence newly offered at the meeting, the Decision-maker may delay the meeting and instruct that the investigation needs to be re-opened to consider that evidence.

If the parties raise an issue of bias or conflict of interest of an Investigator or Decision-maker at the meeting, the Decision-maker may elect to address those issues, consult with legal counsel, and/or refer them to the Title IX Coordinator, and/or preserve them for appeal. If bias is not in issue at the meeting, the Decision-maker should not permit irrelevant questions that probe for bias.

25. Deliberation, Decision-making, and Standard of Proof

The Decision-maker(s) will then deliberate to determine whether the Respondent is responsible or not responsible for the policy violation(s) in question. The preponderance of the evidence standard of proof is used.

When there is a finding of responsibility on one or more of the allegations, the Decision-maker(s) may then consider the previously submitted party impact statements in determining appropriate sanction(s).

²¹ In determining whether to incorporate an in-person meeting at this point, schools should consider whether a meeting at this step can substitute for a meeting/hearing that may subsequently be required in your process in accordance with school/district policy and/or state law (e.g. if suspension or expulsion longer than 10 days is recommended as a sanction). This will help to streamline the resolution process and timeline for those cases.

The Decision-maker will ensure that each of the parties has an opportunity to review any impact statement submitted by the other party(ies). The Decision-maker(s) may – at their discretion – consider the impact statements, but they are not binding.

The Decision-maker(s) will review the impact statements and any pertinent conduct history provided and will [recommend/determine] the appropriate sanction(s) in consultation with other appropriate administrators, as required.

The Decision-maker will then prepare a written deliberation statement and deliver it to the Title IX Coordinator. This statement must be submitted to the Title IX Coordinator within five (5) business days after the Decision-maker held their final meeting with the parties/witnesses or concluded the paper evidence exchange/questioning process, unless the Title IX Coordinator grants an extension. If an extension is granted, the Title IX Coordinator will notify the parties.

26. Notice of Outcome

Using the deliberation statement, the Decision-maker will work in conjunction with the Title IX Coordinator as needed to prepare a Notice of Outcome letter. The Title IX Coordinator will then share the letter, including the final determination, rationale, and any applicable sanction(s) with the parties and their Advisors within 7 business days of receiving the Decision-maker(s)' deliberation statement.

The Notice of Outcome will be shared with the parties simultaneously. Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person, mailed to the local or permanent address of the parties as indicated in official AIDB records, or emailed to the parties' AIDB-issued email or otherwise approved account. Once mailed, emailed, and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered.

The Notice of Outcome will articulate the specific policy(ies) reported to have been violated, including the relevant policy section, and will contain a description of the procedural steps taken by AIDB from the receipt of the misconduct report to the determination, including any and all notifications to the parties, interviews with parties and witnesses, site visits, methods used to obtain evidence, and meetings/hearings held.

The Notice of Outcome will specify the finding on each alleged policy violation; the findings of fact that support the determination; conclusions regarding the application of the relevant policy to the facts at issue; a statement of, and rationale for, the result of each allegation to the extent the AIDB is permitted to share such information under state or federal law; any sanctions issued which AIDB is permitted to share according to state or federal law; and whether remedies will be provided to the Complainant to ensure access to AIDB's educational or employment program or activity.

The Notice of Outcome will also include the relevant procedures and bases for any available appeal options.

27. Statement of the Rights of the Parties [\(see Appendix C\)](#)

28. Sanctions

Factors considered when determining a sanction/responsive action may include, but are not limited to:

- The nature, severity of, and circumstances surrounding the violation(s)
- The Respondent's disciplinary history
- The need for sanctions/responsive actions to bring an end to the sexual Harassment and/or retaliation
- The need for sanctions/responsive actions to prevent the future recurrence of sexual harassment and/or retaliation
- The need to remedy the effects of the sexual harassment and/or retaliation on the Complainant and the community
- The impact on the parties
- Any other information deemed relevant by the Decision-maker(s)

The sanctions will be implemented as soon as is feasible, either upon the outcome of any appeal or the expiration of the window to appeal without an appeal being requested.

The sanctions described in this policy are not exclusive of, and may be in addition to, other actions taken or sanctions imposed by external authorities.

a. Student Sanctions

The following are examples of the usual sanctions that may be imposed upon students singly or in combination:

- *Warning*
- *Required Counseling*
- Required substance abuse treatment program
- Exclusion from participating in extra-curricular activities or other school/district programs/activities
- Alternative placement
- *Suspension; In-school; out-of-school; long-term; short-term; extended, etc.*
- *Expulsion*
- *Other Actions:* In addition to or in place of the above sanctions, AIDB may assign any other sanctions as deemed appropriate.

b. Employee Sanctions/Responsive/Corrective Actions

Responsive actions for an employee who has engaged in harassment and/or retaliation include:

- *Warning – Verbal or Written*
- *Performance Improvement Plan/Management Process*
- *Enhanced supervision, observation, or review*
- *Required Counseling*
- *Required Training or Education*
- *Probation*
- *Denial of Pay Increase/Pay Grade*
- *Loss of Oversight or Supervisory Responsibility*
- *Demotion*
- *Transfer*
- *Reassignment*
- *Delay of tenure track progress*
- *Assignment to new supervisor*
- *Restriction of stipends, research, and/or professional development resources*
- *Suspension with pay*
- *Suspension without pay*
- *Termination*
- *Other Actions:* In addition to or in place of the above sanctions/responsive actions, AIDB may assign any other responsive actions as deemed appropriate.

29. Withdrawal or Resignation While Charges Pending

Should a student decide not to participate in the resolution process, the process proceeds absent their participation to a reasonable resolution. Should a student Respondent permanently withdraw from the AIDB, the resolution process ends with a dismissal, as AIDB no longer has disciplinary jurisdiction over the withdrawn student.

However, AIDB will continue to address and remedy any systemic issues, variables that may have contributed to the alleged violation(s), and any ongoing effects of the alleged sexual harassment and/or retaliation.

If the student Respondent only withdraws or takes a leave for a specified period of time (e.g., one semester or term), the resolution process may continue remotely and that student is not permitted to return to AIDB unless and until all sanctions, if any, have been satisfied.]

Employees: Should an employee Respondent resign with unresolved allegations pending, the resolution process ends with a dismissal, as AIDB no longer has disciplinary jurisdiction over the resigned employee.

However, AIDB will continue to address and remedy any systemic issues, variables that contributed to the alleged violation(s), and any ongoing effects of the alleged harassment or retaliation.

The employee who resigns with unresolved allegations pending is not eligible for rehire with AIDB or any school of the AIDB, and the records retained by the Title IX Coordinator will reflect that status. Any state mandates for reporting of this resignation with respect to licensure or certification will be met.

All AIDB responses to future inquiries regarding employment references for that individual may include that the former employee resigned during a pending disciplinary matter.

30. Appeals

Any party may file a request for appeal ("Request for Appeal") in writing to the Title IX Coordinator within 3 days of the delivery of the Notice of Outcome.

An appeals officer designated by the Title IX Coordinator OR a single Appeal Decision-maker will Chair the appeal. No appeal panelists [Decision-maker] will have been involved in the process previously, including any dismissal appeal that may have been heard earlier in the process.

The Request for Appeal will be forwarded to the Appeal Chair or designee for consideration to determine if the request meets the grounds for appeal (a Review for Standing).

This review is not a review of the merits of the appeal, but solely a determination as to whether the request meets the grounds and is timely filed.

a. Grounds for Appeal

Appeals are limited to the following grounds:

- (A) Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter;
- (B) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal was made, that could affect the outcome of the matter; and
- (C) The Title IX Coordinator, Investigator(s), or Decision-maker(s) had a conflict of interest or bias for or against Complainants or Respondents generally or the specific Complainant or Respondent that affected the outcome of the matter.

If any of the grounds in the Request for Appeal do not meet the grounds in this Policy, that request will be denied by the Appeal Chair and the parties and their Advisors will be notified in writing of the denial and the rationale.

If any of the grounds in the Request for Appeal meet the grounds in this Policy, then the Appeal Chair will notify the other party(ies) and their Advisors, the Title IX Coordinator, and, when appropriate, the Investigators and/or the original Decision-maker(s).

The other party(ies) and their Advisors, the Title IX Coordinator, and, when appropriate, the Investigators and/or the original Decision-maker(s) will be mailed, emailed, and/or provided a hard copy of the appeal request with the approved grounds and then be given 3 days to submit a response to the portion of the appeal that was approved and involves them. All responses, if any, will be forwarded by the Chair to all parties for review and comment.

The non-appealing party (if any) may also choose to raise a new ground for appeal at this time. If so, that will be reviewed to determine if it meets the grounds in this Policy by the Appeal Chair and either denied or approved. If approved, it will be forwarded to the party who initially requested an appeal, the Investigator(s), and/or original Decision-maker(s), as necessary, who will submit their responses in 3 days, which will be circulated for review and comment by all parties.

Neither party may submit any new requests for appeal after this time period. The Appeal Chair will collect any additional information needed and all documentation regarding the approved grounds for appeal and the subsequent responses and the Appeal Chair will render a decision in no more than 7 days, barring unusual circumstances.

A Notice of Appeal Outcome will be sent to all parties simultaneously including the decision on each approved ground and rationale for each decision. The Notice of Appeal Outcome will specify the finding on each ground for appeal, any specific instructions for remand or reconsideration, any sanctions that may result which AIDB is permitted to share according to state or federal law, and the rationale supporting the essential findings to the extent AIDB is permitted to share under state or federal law.

Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person, mailed to the local or permanent address of the parties as indicated in official institutional records, or emailed to the parties' AIDB-issued email or otherwise approved account. Once mailed, emailed and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered.

b. Sanctions Status During the Appeal

Any sanctions imposed by the Decision-maker take effect following the conclusion of the appeal process. Supportive measures may remain in effect during an appeal process, subject to the same supportive measure procedures above.

c. Appeal Considerations

- Appeals are not intended to provide for a full reconsideration of the allegation(s) and evidence. In most cases, appeals are confined to a review of the written documentation or record of the original hearing and pertinent documentation regarding the specific grounds for appeal.

- Appeal decisions are deferential to the original decision, making changes to the determination only when there is clear error and to the sanction(s)/responsive action(s) only if there is a compelling justification to do so.
- An appeal is not an opportunity for Appeal Decision-makers to substitute their judgment for that of the original Decision-maker(s) merely because they disagree with the determination and/or sanction(s).
- The Appeal Chair/Decision-maker(s) may consult with the Title IX Coordinator and/or legal counsel on questions of procedure or rationale, for clarification, if needed. Documentation of all such consultation will be maintained.
- Appeals granted should normally be remanded (returned) to the original Investigator(s) and/or Decision-maker(s) for reconsideration.
- Once an appeal is decided, the outcome is final: further appeals are not permitted, even if a decision or sanction is changed on remand (except in the case of a new hearing).
- In rare cases where an error cannot be cured by the original Investigator(s) or Decision-maker(s) (as in cases of bias), the appeal may order a new investigation with a new investigator(s) and/or a new decision-making process with a new Decision-maker(s).
- [The results of a remand to a Decision-maker(s) cannot be appealed]. The results of a new hearing can be appealed, once, on any of the three available appeal grounds.
- In cases in which the appeal results in the Respondent's reinstatement to AIDB or resumption of privileges, all reasonable attempts will be made to restore the Respondent to their prior status, recognizing that some opportunities lost may be irreparable in the short term.

31. Long-Term Remedies/Other Actions

Following the conclusion of the resolution process, and in addition to any sanctions implemented, the Title IX Coordinator may implement additional long-term remedies or actions with respect to the parties and/or the school/district community that are intended to stop the sexual harassment and/or retaliation, remedy the effects, and prevent reoccurrence.

These remedies/actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Referral to counseling and health services
- Referral to the Employee Assistance for staff
- Education to the individual and/or the community
- Permanent alteration of housing assignment]
- Permanent alteration of work arrangements for employees
- Provision of school safety escorts
- Climate surveys
- Policy modification and/or training
- Provision of transportation accommodations
- Implementation of long-term contact limitations between the parties

- Implementation of adjustments to academic deadlines, course schedules, etc.

At the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, certain long-term support or measures may also be provided to the parties even if no policy violation is found.

When no policy violation is found, the Title IX Coordinator will address any remedies owed by the AIDB to the Respondent to ensure no effective denial of educational access.

The AIDB will maintain the privacy of any long-term remedies/actions/measures, provided privacy does not impair the AIDB's ability to provide these services.

32. Failure to Comply with Sanctions/ Responsive Actions/Corrective Actions

All Respondents are expected to comply with the assigned sanctions, responsive actions, and/or corrective actions within the timeframe specified by the final Decision-maker(s) (including the Appeal Chair/Panel).

Failure to abide by the sanction(s)/action(s) imposed by the date specified, whether by refusal, neglect, or any other reason, may result in additional sanction(s)/action(s), including suspension, expulsion, and/or termination from AIDB.

33. Recordkeeping

AIDB will maintain for a period of at least seven years records of:

1. Each sexual harassment investigation including any determination regarding responsibility and any audio or audiovisual recording or transcript required under federal regulation;
2. Any disciplinary sanctions imposed on the Respondent;
3. Any remedies provided to the Complainant designed to restore or preserve equal access to AIDB's education program or activity;
4. Any appeal and the result therefrom;
5. Any Informal Resolution and the result therefrom;
6. All materials used to train Title IX Coordinators, Investigators, Decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an Informal Resolution process. AIDB will make these training materials publicly available on AIDB's website; and
7. Any actions, including any supportive measures, taken in response to a report or formal complaint of sexual harassment, including:
 - a. The basis for all conclusions that the response was not deliberately indifferent;
 - b. Any measures designed to restore or preserve equal access to AIDB's education program or activity; and
 - c. If no supportive measures were provided to the Complainant, document the reasons why such a response was not clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances.

AIDB will also maintain any and all records in accordance with state and federal laws.

34. Disabilities Accommodations in the Resolution Process

AIDB is committed to providing reasonable accommodations and support to qualified students, employees, or others with disabilities to ensure equal access to AIDB's resolution process.

Anyone needing such accommodations or support should contact the School Principal, Unit Director or Director of HR or VP of Instructional Programs, who will review the request and, in consultation with the person requesting the accommodation and the Title IX Coordinator, determine which accommodations are appropriate and necessary for full participation in the process.

35. Revision

These will be reviewed Title IX Coordinator annually as follows:

These policies and procedures will be reviewed and updated annually by the Title IX Coordinator. The AIDB reserves the right to make changes to this document as necessary and once those changes are posted online, they are in effect.

The Title IX Coordinator may make minor modifications to these procedures that do not materially jeopardize the fairness owed to any party, such as to accommodate summer schedules.

The Title IX Coordinator may also vary procedures materially with notice (on the AIDB website, with the appropriate effective date identified) upon determining that changes to law or regulation require policy or procedural alterations not reflected in this policy and procedure.

Procedures in effect at the time of the resolution will apply to resolution of incidents, regardless of when the incident occurred.

Policy in effect at the time of the offense will apply even if the policy is changed subsequently but prior to resolution, unless the parties consent to be bound by the current Policy.

If government regulations change in a way that impacts this document, this document will be construed to comply with the most recent government regulations.

This document does not create legally enforceable protections beyond the protection of the background state and federal laws which frame such policies and codes, generally.

APPENDIX A: POLICY EXAMPLES

Some examples of possible sexual harassment include:²²

- A teacher offers for a student to have sex with them in exchange for a good grade. This constitutes sexual harassment regardless of whether the student accedes to the request and irrespective of whether a good grade is promised or a bad grade is threatened.
- A student repeatedly sends graphic, sexually-oriented jokes and pictures around school via social media to hundreds of other students. Many don't find it funny and ask them to stop, but they do not. Because of these jokes, one student avoids the sender in school, eventually asking to be moved from a class they had together.
- A teacher engages students in class in discussions about the students' past sexual experiences, yet the conversations are not in any way germane to the subject matter of the class. The teacher inquires about explicit details and demands that students answer them, though the students are clearly uncomfortable and hesitant.
- An ex-partner widely spreads false stories about their sex life with their former partner to the clear discomfort and frustration of the former partner, turning the former partner into a social pariah in school.

Examples of Stalking

- Students A and B were "friends with benefits." Student A wanted a more serious relationship, which caused student B to break it off. Student A could not let go, and pursued student B relentlessly. Student B obtained a school no-contact order. Subsequently, Student B discovered their social media accounts were being accessed, and things were being posted and messaged as if they were from them, but they were not. Whoever accessed their account posted a picture of a penis, making it look as if they had sent out a picture of themselves, though it was not their penis. This caused them considerable embarrassment and social anxiety. They changed their passwords, only to have it happen again. Seeking help from the Title IX Coordinator, Student B met with the IT department, which discovered an app on their phone and a keystroke recorder on their laptop, both of which were being used to transmit their data to a third party.
 - A graduate student working as student-teacher received flowers and gifts delivered to their office. After learning the gifts were from a student they recently tutored, the graduate student thanked the student and stated that it was not necessary and would appreciate it if the gift deliveries stopped. The student then started leaving notes of love and gratitude on the student-teacher's car, both in-school and at home. Asked again to stop, the student
-

stated by email, "You can ask me to stop, but I'm not giving up. We are meant to be together, and I'll do anything to make you have the feelings for me that I have for you." When the student-teacher did not respond, the student emailed again, "You cannot escape me. I will track you to the ends of the earth. If I can't have you, no one will."

Examples of Sexual Assault:

- Amanda and Bill meet at a party. They spend the evening dancing and getting to know each other. Bill convinces Amanda to come to his house. From 11:00 p.m. until 3:00 a.m., Bill uses every line he can think of to convince Amanda to have sex with him, but she adamantly refuses. Despite her clear communications that she is not interested in doing anything sexual with him, Bill keeps at her, questions her religious convictions, and accuses her of being "a prude." He brings up several rumors that he has heard about how she performed oral sex on a number of other guys. Finally, it seems to Bill that her resolve is weakening, and he convinces her to "jerk him off" (hand to genital contact). Amanda would have never done it but for Bill's incessant coercion.
- Jiang and Beth sit next to each other in their film elective. Whenever the lights are out and the class is watching a film, Beth tries to fondle Jiang. Jiang is uncomfortable but does not say anything. He repeatedly tries to remove Beth's hand, but she continues the behavior despite his resistance and lack of consent.
- Kevin and John convince Stacy to snap them a pic of her butt. She reluctantly sends it to them. The boys then tell her that if she doesn't meet them in the back stairwell between classes for "oral," they will send her snap out to the whole school. Stacy agrees to do it, feeling that she had no choice.

Examples of Retaliation:

- Student-athlete A alleges sexual harassment by a coach; the coach subsequently cuts the student-athlete's playing time without a legitimate justification.
- A teacher alleges gender inequity in pay within her department; the Department Chair then revokes his approval for her to attend a national conference, citing the teacher's tendency to "ruffle feathers."
- A student from the chess club participates in a sexual harassment investigation as a witness whose testimony is damaging to the Respondent, who is also a member of the chess club; the student is subsequently removed as a member of the chess club because of their participation in the investigation.

APPENDIX B: A SUGGESTED FRAMEWORK FOR INFORMAL RESOLUTION (IR)

Association of Title IX Administrators (ATIXA) has framed a process for IR that includes:

1. A response based on supportive measures; and/or
2. A response based on a Respondent accepting responsibility; and/or
3. A response based on alternative resolution, which could include various approaches and facilitation of dialogue.

Alternative resolution approaches like mediation, restorative practices, transformative justice, etc., are likely to be used more and more often by colleges and universities. ATIXA does not endorse these approaches as better or worse than other formal or informal approaches.

ATIXA believes that if they are to be used in, and are effective for, sex offenses, they need to be designed and executed carefully and thoughtfully and be facilitated by well-trained administrators who take the necessary time to prepare and lay a foundation for success. Although no approach is a panacea, the framework below can help to lay that foundation, regardless of which approach(es) are used.

Here are the principles to be considered for supporting various approaches to informal resolution:

- IR can be applied in any sex/gender-based interpersonal conflict but may not be appropriate or advisable in cases involving violent incidents (sexual violence, stalking, domestic and dating violence, severe sexual harassment, sexual exploitation, etc.).
- IR will not be used to resolve complainants where the Complainant is a student and the Respondent is an employee.
- Situations involving dangerous patterns or significant ongoing threat to the community should not be resolved by IR.
- The determination of whether to permit an IR-based resolution is entirely at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator (TIXC) and in line with the requirements for IR laid out in the Title IX regulations.
- Any party can end IR early-, mid-, or late-process for any reason or no reason.
- IR can be attempted before and in lieu of formal resolution as a diversion-based resolution of a formal complaint.
- Alternative approaches can inform formal resolution, as in a formal resolution model infused with restorative practices.
- IR could be deployed after formal resolution, as an adjunct healing/catharsis opportunity (that could potentially mitigate sanctions or be a form of sanction).
- Alternate Resolution approaches to IR must be facilitated by the AIDB or a third-party. There may be value in creating clearly agreed-upon ground rules, which the parties must sign in advance and agree to abide by, otherwise the informal resolution process will be deemed to have failed.
- Technology-facilitated IR can be made available, should the parties not be able or willing to meet in person.
- If IR fails, a formal resolution can take place thereafter. No evidence elicited within the “safe space” of the IR facilitation is later admissible in the formal resolution unless all parties consent.

- With cases involving violence, the preferred alternative approach typically involves a minimal number of essential parties and is not a wide restorative circle approach in order to ensure confidentiality.
- Some approaches require a reasonable gesture toward accountability (this could be more than an acknowledgement of harm) and some acceptance, or at least recognition, by the Respondent that catharsis is of value and likely the primary goal of the Complainant. A full admission by the Respondent is not a prerequisite. This willingness needs to be vetted carefully in advance by the TIXC before determining that an incident is amenable/appropriate for resolution by IR.
- IR can result in an agreement between the parties (Complainant, Respondent, AIDB) that is summarized in writing by and enforced by AIDB. This can be a primary goal of the process.
- IR can result in the voluntary imposition of safety measures, remedies, and/or agreed-upon resolutions by the parties that are enforceable by AIDB. These can be part of the accord/agreement.
- As a secondary goal, IR can result in the voluntary acceptance of “sanctions,” meaning that a Respondent could agree to withdraw, self-suspend (by taking a leave of absence), or undertake other restrictions/transfers/online course options that would help to ensure the safety/educational access of the Complainant, in lieu of formal sanctions that would create a formal record for the Respondent. These are enforceable by AIDB as part of the accord/agreement, as may be terms of mutual release, non-disparagement, and/or non-disclosure.
- Although a non-disclosure agreement (NDA) could result from IR, it would have to be mutually agreed-upon by the parties in an environment of non-coercion verified by the TIXC.
- Schools/districts must develop clear rules for managing/facilitating the conference/meeting/dialogue of alternative resolution approaches, to ensure they are civil, age-appropriate, culturally-competent, reflective of power imbalances, and maximize the potential for the resolution process to result in catharsis, restoration, remedy, etc., for the harmed party(ies).

APPENDIX C: STATEMENT OF RIGHTS OF THE PARTIES

- The right to an equitable investigation and resolution of all credible allegations of prohibited harassment or retaliation made in good faith to AIDB officials.
- The right to timely written notice of all alleged violations, including the identity of the parties involved (if known), the precise misconduct being alleged, the date and location of the alleged misconduct (if known), the implicated policies and procedures, and possible sanctions.
- The right to timely written notice of any material adjustments to the allegations (e.g., additional incidents or allegations, additional Complainants, unsubstantiated allegations) and any attendant adjustments needed to clarify potentially implicated policy violations.
- The right to be informed in advance of any public release of information regarding the allegation(s) or underlying incident(s), whenever possible.
- The right not to have any personally identifiable information released to the public without consent provided, except to the extent permitted by law.
- The right to be treated with respect by AIDB officials.
- The right to have AIDB policies and procedures followed without material deviation.
- The right not to be pressured to mediate or otherwise informally resolve any reported misconduct involving violence, including sexual violence.
- The right not to be discouraged by AIDB officials from reporting sexual harassment or retaliation to both school and local authorities.
- The right to be informed by AIDB officials of options to notify proper law enforcement authorities, including in-school and local police, and the option(s) to be assisted by AIDB officials in notifying such authorities, if the party so chooses. This also includes the right not to report, as well, except when AIDB officials are required to report by law.
- The right to have allegations of violations of this Policy responded to promptly and with sensitivity by AIDB law enforcement and/or other AIDB officials.
- The right to be informed of available interim actions and supportive measures, such as [counseling, advocacy, health care, legal, student financial aid, visa, and immigration assistance,] or other services, both in school and in the community.

- The right to an AIDB-implemented no-contact order [or a no-trespass order against a non-affiliated third party] when a person has engaged in or threatens to engage in stalking, threatening, harassing, or other improper conduct.
- The right to be informed of available assistance in changing academic, living, and/or working situations after an alleged incident of sexual harassment and/or retaliation, if such changes are reasonably available. No formal report, or investigation, either school or criminal, needs to occur before this option is available. Such actions may include, but are not limited to:
 - Relocating a student's housing to a different location
 - Changing an employee's work environment (e.g., reporting structure, office/workspace relocation)
 - Transportation accommodations
 - Visa/immigration assistance
 - Exam, paper, and/or assignment rescheduling or adjustment
 - Transferring class sections
 - Temporary leave of absence
 - School safety escorts
 - Alternative course completion options.
- The right to have AIDB maintain such actions for as long as necessary and for supportive measures to remain private, provided privacy does not impair AIDB's ability to provide the supportive measures.
- The right to receive sufficiently advanced, written notice of any meeting or interview involving the other party, when possible.
- The right to ask the Investigator(s) and Decision-maker(s) to identify and question relevant witnesses, including expert witnesses.
- The right to provide the Investigator(s)/Decision-maker(s) with a list of questions that, if deemed relevant by the Investigator(s)/Chair, may be asked of any party or witness.
- The right to have inadmissible prior sexual history or irrelevant character evidence excluded by the decision-maker.
- The right to know the relevant and directly related evidence obtained and to respond to that evidence.
- The right to a fair opportunity to provide the Investigator(s) with their account of the alleged misconduct.

- The right to receive a copy of the investigation report, including all factual, policy, and/or credibility analyses performed, and all relevant and directly related evidence available and used to produce the investigation report, subject to the privacy limitations imposed by state and federal law and the right to have at least ten (10) calendar days to review the report prior to any determination being made.
- The right to respond to the investigation report, including comments providing any additional relevant evidence after the opportunity to review the investigation report.
- The right to be informed of the names of all witnesses whose information will be used to make a finding, in advance of that finding, when relevant.
- The right to regular updates on the status of the investigation and/or resolution.
- The right to have reports of alleged Policy violations addressed by Investigators, Title IX Coordinators, and Decision-maker(s) who have received [at least eight hours of] relevant annual training.
- The right to preservation of privacy, to the extent possible and permitted by law.
- The right to meetings, interviews, and/or hearings that are closed to the public.
- The right to petition that any AIDB representative in the process be recused on the basis of disqualifying bias and/or conflict of interest.
- The right to have an Advisor of their choice to accompany and assist the party in all meetings, interviews and/or hearings associated with the resolution process.
- The right to the use of the appropriate standard of evidence, [preponderance of the evidence; clear and convincing evidence] to make a finding after an objective evaluation of all relevant evidence.
- The right to have an impact statement considered by the Decision-maker(s) following a determination of responsibility for any allegation, but prior to sanctioning.
- The right to be promptly informed in a written Notice of Outcome letter of the finding(s) and sanction(s) of the resolution process and a detailed rationale of the decision (including an explanation of how credibility was assessed), delivered simultaneously (without undue delay) to the parties.
- The right to be informed in writing of when a decision by AIDB is considered final and any changes to the sanction(s) that occur before the decision is finalized.

- The right to be informed of the opportunity to appeal the finding(s) and sanction(s) of the resolution process, and the procedures for doing so in accordance with the standards for appeal established by AIDB.
- The right to a fundamentally fair resolution as defined in these procedures.